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#### Abstract

I): $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}, \quad M_{r}=$ $466.8, \quad C 2 / c, \quad a=18.474$ (4), $\quad b=12.751$ (1), $\quad c=$ 9.380 (1) $\AA, \beta=107.90(1)^{\circ}, V=2103$ (1) $\AA^{3}, Z=4$, $D_{x}=1.48 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \quad$ Mo $K \alpha, \quad \lambda=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu=$ $1.01 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=984$, room temperature, $w R=$ 0.041 for 1569 reflections. (II): $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right], M_{r}=395.7, P 22_{1} 2_{1}, a=9.489(3), b=$ 12.411 (4), $c=14.595$ (4) $\AA, V=1719$ (1) $\AA^{3}, Z=4$, $D_{x}=1.53 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, Мо $K \alpha, \mu=1.22 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad F(000)$ $=824$, room temperature, $w R=0.044$ for 1329 reflections. (III): $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}\right)\right], M_{r}=$ 451.4 (1) $, \quad P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}, \quad a=11.546$ (3), $\quad b=11.724$ (4), $c=15.694$ (4) $\AA, \quad V=2124(1) \AA^{3}, \quad Z=4, \quad D_{x}=$ $1.41 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, Мо $K \alpha, \mu=0.88 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, F(000)=952$, room temperature, $w R=0.039$ for 1080 reflections. Ground-state trans effects are observed. With the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (pyr) distance in (I) as reference, the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{pyr})$ in (II) is only slightly larger, but in (III) it is significantly elongated, in keeping with the weak $\sigma$-donor ability of the chlorine compared to the benzyl ligand. Comparison of the title compounds with other
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cobaloximes shows indications of ground-state cis effects, namely in the correlation between the larger $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (axial) and the smaller $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (equatorial) and in the shifts of the Co atoms from the plane of the four equatorial N atoms. The possibility of a ligand-induced proton shift in (II) and (III) is discussed.

Introduction. Transformation of, for example, an octahedral complex $M L_{6}$ ( $M$ : metal; $L$ : ligand) into $M L_{5} X$ causes a change in the properties of the ligands $L$, whilst the substituent effects of $X$ on $L$ are different for trans- and cis-positioned ligands $L$ relative to $X$. Such cis and trans interactions influence ground-state properties as well as thermodynamic and kinetic characteristics. Unfortunately there is an excessive number of terms used in the literature to correlate the mutual influence of ligands on the properties concerned. We will follow the recommendations of Buchler, Kokisch \& Smith (1978) and adhere to the terminology used by Hill, Pratt \& Williams (see e.g. Philips \& Williams, 1966), i.e. we will use the term ground-state trans/cis effect in connection with geometrical and spectroscopic properties.

Cobaloximes - $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Hdmg})_{2} L X$, with Hdmg the monoanion of dimethylglyoxime - are studied because they can be considered as model compounds for vitamin $B_{12}$ and because by analogy with vitamin $B_{12}$ © 1986 International Union of Crystallography
they show catalytic properties in homogeneous processes. Obviously with their nearly flat rigid Hdmg units in the equatorial plane cobaloximes are ideally suited to show trans effects, the influencing ligand $X$ and the influenced one $L$ being a priori in trans positions. Since the detailed geometry of the Co octahedral coordination depends upon the electronic and steric properties of $X$ and $L$, we study in this work three complexes with fixed $L$, the secondary amine pyrrolidine, and variable $X$, which is pyrrolidine (compound I), chlorine (compound II) and benzyl (compound III). (I) serves as the point of reference for the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (pyrrolidine) bond, while (II) and (III) are at opposite ends of the covalency scale in $\mathrm{Co}-X$.

Experimental. Preparation of (I): trans-bis[dimethyl-glyoximato(1-)-N, $N^{\prime}$ ]bis(pyrrolidine- $N$ )cobalt(III) chloride. $3.58 \mathrm{~g} \quad(9.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trans-dichloro[dimethylglyoximato $(1-)-N_{,} N^{\prime}$ ] (dimethylglyoxime$\left.N, N^{\prime}\right]$ cobalt(III), $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dmg}\right)(\mathrm{Hdmg}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$, was suspended in 50 ml ethanol. A solution of 4.23 g ( 59.52 mmol ) pyrrolidine in 20 ml ethanol was added dropwise. The clear and transparent solution obtained was left to crystallize for 3 d to give (I). Elemental analysis, found: C 41.92 , H 7.08, N 18.42, Cl 7.82; calculated for $\mathrm{CoClC}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C $41 \cdot 16, \mathrm{H} 6.86$, N $18 \cdot 0$, C1 $7 \cdot 60 \%$. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a solution of 2.0 g (I) in 40 ml warm ethanol.

Preparation of (II): trans-chlorobis[dimethylglyoximato (1-)- $N, N^{\prime}$ ] (pyrrolidine- $N$ ) cobalt(III). 2.03 g $(5.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ of trans-dichloro[dimethylglyoximato-(1-)- $N, N^{\prime}$ ](dimethylglyoxime- $N, N^{\prime}$ )cobalt(III) were suspended in 50 ml ethanol, to which 0.71 g ( 9.95 mmol ) pyrrolidine in 20 ml ethanol was added dropwise. After 1 h a first crop of crystals appeared, which were a mixture of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Hdmg})_{2}(\mathrm{pyr}) \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Hdmg})_{2}(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$. These crystals were filtered off and the filtrate was left to evaporate in an open beaker. The next day 0.8 g (yield $36 \%$ ) of crystalline (II) was obtained. Elemental analysis, found: C $36 \cdot 69$, $\mathrm{H} 5 \cdot 85$, N 17.93, Cl 9.54 , calculated for $\mathrm{CoClC}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C 36.41 , H 5.81, N 17.70, Cl 8.96\%.

Preparation of (III): trans-(benzyl)bis[dimethyl-glyoximato(1-)- $N, N^{\prime}$ (pyrrolidine- $N$ )cobalt(III). $\quad 2.0 \mathrm{~g}$ $(6.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ of diaquacobaloxime was dissolved in 240 ml acetone under argon. Then $0.85 \mathrm{~g}(12 \mathrm{mmol})$ of pyrrolidine in 10 ml acetone was added whilst stirring. After about 30 min , to the nearly transparent solution $0.68 \mathrm{~g}(3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ of benzyl bromide was slowly added dropwise and the reaction mixture was agitated for 24 h . The precipitate consisting of 1.15 g (yield $85 \%$ ) trans-bromobis[dimethylglyoximato(1-)-N, N']-(pyrrolidine- $N$ )cobalt(III) was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated to a volume of about 20 ml . Soon 1.05 g (yield $76 \%$ ) crystalline (III) appeared. Crystals suitable for X -ray analysis were grown from a solution
of 0.56 g (III) in $4 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ by slow evaporation in air. Elemental analysis, found: C 50.32, H 6.41, $\mathrm{N} 15.65 \%$; calculated for $\mathrm{CoC}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C} 50.56$, H 6.65, N 15.52\%.

The structure of the products was confirmed by NMR spectroscopy independently of the X-ray crystallographic measurements. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift data for (I), (II) and (III) are gathered in Tables 1 and 2.

Cell dimensions determined from setting angles of 25 high-order reflections. Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, Zr -filtered Mo radiation, $\omega$ scan. Three intensity- and orientation-control reflections measured every 120 min and 200 reflections, respectively, no significant drift noted. Intensities not corrected for absorption because of small crystal size. Further details in Table 3. Structures solved by Patterson and Fourier methods. Least-squares refinement on $F$ 's in which each individual reflection was given a weight based on counting statistics. Non-hydrogen atoms refined anisotropically. H atoms fixed at calculated positions with fixed isotropic $B$ set equal to overall Wilson $B$. No extinction correction applied. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography

Table $1 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts $\delta$ (p.p.m., $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ )
The numbering of the atoms is given in Figs. 1-3.

| Compound | Solvent | C(1P) | C(2P) | $\mathrm{C}(1 A)$ | C(2A) | Others |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (I) | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $50 \cdot 16$ | 22.75 | 13.79 | 158.80 |  |  |  |  |
| (II) | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | $48 \cdot 17$ | 22.00 | 12.50 | 151.94 |  |  |  |  |
| (III) | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | 47.88 | 24.25 | 11.88 | 149.19 |  | 147.43 | Cririhn | $127 \cdot 38$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{\text {meat }} \\ & \mathrm{C}\left(7{ }^{2}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $128.48$ not obser | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}_{\text {por }} \\ & \text { rued } \end{aligned}$ | 124.09 |

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R$ chemical shifts $\delta$ (p.p.m., $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ ).
$\mathrm{H}(X)$ are H atoms attached to carbon $X$, numbered as in Figs. 1-3.

| Compound | Solvent | H(1P) | $\mathrm{H}(2 P)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (Hdmg) | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (I) | $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2.60 | 1.64 | 2.60 |  |
| (II) | $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | 2.41 | 1.44 | 2.36 |  |
| (III) | $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | $2 \cdot 68$ | I. 60 | $2 \cdot 04$ | $\mathrm{H}(77) 2.70$ aromatic $\mathrm{H}: 6 \cdot 8-7.2$ |

Table 3. Crystallographic details of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Hdmg})_{2}$ (pyrrolidine) $X$ with $X=$ pyrrolidine ( I$)$, $X=$ chlorine (II) and $X=$ benzyl (III)

|  | (I) | (II) | (III) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{CoClC}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CoClC}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CoC}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.1$ | $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.2$ | $0.1 \times 0.2 \times 0.15$ |
| 0 mar $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| $h$ range | -21 to 20 | 0 to 11 | 0 to 13 |
| $k$ range | 0 to 15 | 0 to 14 | 0 to 13 |
| / range | 0 to 11 | 0 to 17 | 0 to 17 |
| Number of reflections measured | 1963 | 1744 | 2128 |
| Number of reflections with $I>2 \pi(I)$ | 1569 | 1329 | 1080 |
| $w R$ final | 0.041 | 0.044 | 0.039 |
| Max. noise in final difference Fourier map ( $\mathrm{c}^{\AA}{ }^{3}$ ) | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 3$ | 0.3 |
| Max. shift/e.s.d. in last cycle of LS refinement | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 |

Table 4. Positional parameters in fractions of the cell edges and isotropic temperature factors $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

The e.s.d.'s given in parentheses refer to the last significant digit. Isotropic temperature factors are calculated from anisotropic temperature parameters assuming equal volume of the $50 \%$ probability region. $B$ (iso) for non-hydrogen atoms were calculated according to Lipson \& Cochran (1968): $B_{\text {iso }}=8 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11}^{\circ} U_{22}^{\circ} U_{33}^{\circ}\right)^{1 / 3}$. All but one anisotropic temperature parameters were physically acceptable.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {iso }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (I) |  |  |  |  |
| Co | 0.2500 | 0.2500 | 0.0000 | 2.03 |
| Cl | 0.5000 | $0 \cdot 1722$ (1) | 0.2500 | 3.83 |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $0 \cdot 1660$ (1) | $0 \cdot 1458$ (2) | 0.1660 (3) | 3.63 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | 0.2339 (1) | 0.4600 (2) | -0.1089 (3) | 3.50 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 /$ ) | 0.1764 (1) | 0.2354 (2) | 0.0997 (3) | 2.88 |
| $N(2 A)$ | 0.2086 (2) | 0.3869 (2) | -0.0318 (3) | 2.63 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | 0.3298 (2) | 0.2937 (2) | $0 \cdot 1881$ (3) | 2.61 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 /$ ) | 0.0711 (2) | 0.3184 (5) | $0 \cdot 1645$ (5) | 4.91 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 A)$ | 0.1342 (2) | 0.3167 (3) | 0.0996 (5) | 2.97 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | $0 \cdot 1550$ (2) | 0.4069 (3) | 0.0241 (4) | 2.74 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | $0 \cdot 1162$ (2) | 0.5096 (3) | 0.0129 (5) | 4.18 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{P})$ | 0.3137 (2) | 0.2918 (4) | 0.3325 (5) | 4.25 |
| C(2P) | 0.3812 (3) | 0.3387 (4) | 0.4457 (5) | 4.77 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 P)$ | 0.4169 (2) | 0.4088 (3) | 0.3567 (6) | 4.25 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{P})$ | 0.3684 (2) | $0 \cdot 3974$ (3) | $0 \cdot 1952$ (5) | 3.89 |
| (II) |  |  |  |  |
| Co | 0.1128 (1) | 0.1772 (1) | 0.29331 (9) | 2.41 |
| Cl | 0.0490 (3) | 0.0111 (2) | 0.2512 (2) | 3.69 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 0.0103 (7) | 0.1318 (5) | 0.4696 (4) | 4.22 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | 0.3846 (7) | 0.1357 (5) | 0.2195 (5) | 3.78 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 B)$ | -0.1652 (7) | 0.2078 (5) | 0.3633 (4) | 4.47 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 B)$ | 0.2143 (8) | 0.2196 (5) | 0.1122 (4) | 4.67 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 A)$ | 0.1205 (9) | 0.1282 (5) | 0.4156 (5) | 3.00 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 A)$ | 0.3036 (7) | 0.1301 (5) | 0.2915 (5) | 2.67 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 B)$ | -0.0738 (7) | 0.2186 (5) | 0.2891 (5) | 2.88 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 B)$ | 0.0981 (9) | 0.2267 (5) | 0.1686 (4) | 2.20 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | 0.1641 (7) | 0.3284 (6) | 0.3281 (4) | 2.08 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 A)$ | 0.265 (1) | 0.0409 (8) | 0.5341 (7) | 4.58 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 A)$ | 0.242 (1) | 0.0875 (8) | 0.4410 (7) | 3.73 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 A)$ | 0.347 (1) | 0.0864 (8) | 0.3684 (6) | 2.75 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4, A)$ | 0.489 (1) | 0.0407 (9) | 0.3792 (8) | 4.68 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 B)$ | -0.275 (1) | 0.2884 (9) | 0.1198 (8) | 4.80 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | -0.124 (1) | 0.2548 (8) | 0.2159 (7) | 3.24 |
| C(3B) | -0.018 (1) | 0.2615 (7) | 0.1425 (6) | 2.26 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 B)$ | -0.045 (1) | 0.3031 (9) | 0.0487 (7) | 5.56 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 P)$ | 0.169 (1) | 0.3573 (8) | 0.4229 (7) | 4.17 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 P)$ | 0.226 (1) | 0.4718 (8) | 0.4273 (7) | 3.57 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 P)$ | 0.315 (1) | 0.4797 (8) | 0.3428 (7) | 4.33 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{P})$ | $0 \cdot 300$ (1) | 0.3721 (8) | $0 \cdot 2960$ (9) | $5 \cdot 12$ |
| (III) |  |  |  |  |
| Co | 0.0870 (2) | 0.0638 (1) | $0 \cdot 1618$ (1) | 2.85 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1 A)$ | 0.1856 (7) | 0.2855 (6) | 0.1517 (6) | $3 \cdot 89$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2 A)$ | $0 \cdot 1991$ (7) | -0.1470 (6) | $0 \cdot 1925$ (5) | 3.60 |
| $\mathrm{O}(18)$ | -0.0076 (7) | -0.1578 (6) | 0.1696 (6) | 3.18 |
| $\bigcirc(2 B)$ | -0.0235 (7) | 0.2728 (6) | 0.1195 (5) | 3.39 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 / \mathrm{A})$ | 0.2046 (7) | 0.1730 (7) | 0.1691 (6) | 2.81 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 A)$ | 0.2164 (7) | -0.0337 (6) | $0 \cdot 1840$ (6) |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 B)$ | -0.0285 (6) | -0.0479 (8) | $0 \cdot 1568$ (6) | 3.04 |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | -0.0368 (8) | 0.1586 (8) | $0 \cdot 1334$ (5) | 2.77 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | 0.0576 (7) | 0.0926 (7) | 0.2930 (5) | 2.89 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 A)$ | 0.415 (1) | 0.207 (1) | $0 \cdot 1875$ (9) | 4.54 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 A)$ | $0 \cdot 3062$ (9) | 0.1320 (9) | $0 \cdot 1842$ (7) | 2.02 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 A)$ | 0.3131 (9) | 0.0117 (9) | $0 \cdot 1915$ (8) | 2.94 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 A)$ | 0.417 (1) | -0.0498 (9) | 0.2196 (7) | 3.26 |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -0.233 (1) | -0.090 (1) | 0.1331 (9) | 3.57 |
| C(2B) | -0.1303 (8) | -0.0136 (8) | 0.1377 (7) | 2.47 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 B)$ | -0.1373 (9) | 0.110 (1) | $0 \cdot 1228$ (7) | 2.01 |
| C(4B) | -0.240 (1) | 0.171 (1) | $0 \cdot 105$ (1) | 5.63 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 P)$ | -0.0556 (9) | 0.063 (1) | 0.3267 (7) | 3.76 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 P)$ | -0.0438 (9) | 0.070 (1) | 0.4247 (7) | 3.73 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 P)$ | 0.084 (1) | 0.067 (1) | 0.4400 (7) | 3.94 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4 \mathrm{P})$ | 0.1348 (9) | 0.050 (1) | 0.3539 (8) | 4.43 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 0.049 (1) | -0.0068 (9) | -0.0207 (7) | 2.89 |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)$ | -0.050 (1) | 0.039 (1) | -0.0549 (7) | 3.80 |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)$ | -0.130 (1) | -0.025 (1) | -0.0994 (8) | 5.56 |
| $\mathrm{C}(47)$ | -0.106 (1) | -0.135 (1) | -0.1146 (9) | 5.38 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5 T)$ | -0.008 (2) | -0.186 (1) | -0.0835 (8) | 4.95 |
| $\mathrm{C}(67)$ | 0.066 (1) | -0.122 (1) | -0.0370 (8) | 5.21 |
| $\mathrm{C}(77)$ | $0 \cdot 1248$ (9) | 0.055 (1) | 0.0293 (8) | 2.64 |

(1974). Computer calculations with Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP (Frenz, 1978). No attempt to determine absolute configuration for (II) and (III). Further LS particulars in Table 3. Refined parameters* in Table 4, numbering schemes of atoms in Figs. 1, 2 and 3.

* Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H -atom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 42711 ( 53 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.


Fig. 1. Structural formula of (I) with numbering scheme.


Fig. 2. Structural formula of (II) with numbering scheme.


Fig. 3. Structural formula of (III) with numbering scheme.

Discussion. As was expected, Co is coordinated in the equatorial plane by four N atoms of the dimethylglyoximato units and axially by one N atom of pyrrolidine and by one $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{Cl}$ or C atom depending upon the compound. The coordination is a distorted octahedron in all cases; the geometrical details are presented in Table 5. A ground-state trans effect is clearly visible: the strong $\sigma$-donor benzyl ligand causes a marked elongation of the trans-positioned Co N (pyrrolidine) bond [ $2 \cdot 114 \AA$ in (III)] with respect to the 'internal' standard Co-N (pyrrolidine) [1.999 $\AA$ in (I)], whereas the weak $\sigma$-donor Cl ligand has hardly any effect [ $2.004 \AA$ in (II)]. Even though the variation in individual $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (equatorial) bond lengths is rather

Table 5. The Co coordination: bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$, with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | (I) |  |  |  | (II) | (III) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 A)$ | $1.881(2)$ | $1.887(5)$ | $1.870(9)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 A)$ | $1.893(2)$ | $1.902(5)$ | $1.865(10)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 B)$ | 1.881 | $1.926(5)$ | $1.870(8)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 B)$ | 1.893 | $1.845(5)$ | $1.912(8)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | $1.999(2)$ | $2.004(4)$ | $2.114(8)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-X^{*}$ | 1.999 | $2.235(1)$ | $2.128(8)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 A)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 A)$ | $81.4(1)$ | $82.9(2)$ | $82.0(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 A)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 B)$ | 180.0 | $178.1(3)$ | $178.4(5)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 A)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 B)$ | 98.6 | $99.1(3)$ | $98.4(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 A)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | $92.2(1)$ | $93.0(2)$ | $92.2(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 A)-\mathrm{Co}-X$ | 87.8 | $88.5(1)$ | $94.1(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 A)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 B)$ | 98.6 | $98.9(2)$ | $99.4(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 A)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 B)$ | 180.0 | $176.8(2)$ | $176.6(5)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 A)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | $92.0(1)$ | $93.4(2)$ | $90.8(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 A)-\mathrm{Co}-X$ | 88.0 | $88.3(1)$ | $87.3(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 B)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 B)$ | 81.4 | $79.1(2)$ | $80.3(3)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 B)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | 87.8 | $87.6(2)$ | $87.0(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 B)-\mathrm{Co}-X$ | 92.2 | $90.9(1)$ | $86.8(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 B)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | 88.0 | $88.9(2)$ | $92.5(4)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(2 B)-\mathrm{Co}-X$ | 92.0 | $89.3(1)$ | $89.4(5)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 P)-\mathrm{Co}-X$ | 180.0 | $177.8(1)$ | $173.1(4)$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{*} X$ is $\mathrm{N}(1 P)^{\prime}$ related to $\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ by the inversion center in (I), $X$ is Cl in (II) and $X$ is $\mathrm{C}(7 T)$ in (III).

Table 6. Summary of average bond distances ( $\AA$ ) in some cobaloximes $X Y \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Hdmg})_{2}$ with $Y$ a nitrogenbonded ligand

Abbreviations used: pyr pyrrolidine, mor morpholine, sulfa sulfanilamide, clan 4-chloroaniline, an aniline, benz benzyl.

| $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $Y$ | References | Co- | Co-N(eq.) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $(1)$ | $1.946(2)$ | $1.910(2)$ |
| Cl | pyr | $(2)$ | $2.004(5)$ | $1.890(6)$ |
| Cl | mor | $(3)$ | $2.022(3)$ | $1.892(4)$ |
| Cl | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | $(4)$ | $1.965(4)$ | $1.890(5)$ |
| Cl | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ | $(5)$ | $1.994(5)$ | $1.888(6)$ |
| Cl | sulfa | $(6)$ | $2.023(8)$ | $1.889(15)$ |
| Cl | clan | $(6)$ | $1.999(6)$ | $1.893(5)$ |
| Cl | an | $(7)$ | $2.019(5)$ | $1.892(5)$ |
| pyr | pyr | $(2)$ | $1.999(2)$ | $1.887(2)$ |
| an | an | $(8)$ | $2.001(5)$ | $1.887(6)$ |
| benz | pyr | $(2)$ | $2.114(8)$ | $1.879(8)$ |
| benz | mor | $(9)$ | $2.11(1)$ | $1.88(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ | pyr | $(10)$ | 2.04 | 1.88 |

References: (1) Bresciani-Pahor, Calligaris \& Randaccio (1978); (2) this work; (3) Lenstra, Van Loock, Tyrlik \& Stapowska (1982); (4) Brückner \& Randaccio (1974); (5) Lenstra, Geise \& Tyrlik (1984); (6) Palenik, Sullivan \& Naik (1976); (7) Botoshanskii, Simonov, Malinowskii, Ablov \& Bologa (1975); (8) Battaglia, Corradi, Palmieri, Nardelli \& Tani (1974); (9) Van Hooste, Lenstra, Kwiecinski \& Tyrlik (1982); (10) Lenhert (1967).
large (see, however, below), the average $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (equatorial) bond lengths reveal a small accompanying ground-state cis effect in the sense that the larger $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (axial) accompanies the smaller $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ (equatorial). The correlation observed in (I), (II) and (III) fits well into a series of 13 cobaloximes containing axial N -bonded ligands (see Table 6).

Furthermore, one notes that the angles $\mathrm{N}(1 A)-$ $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 A)$ and $\mathrm{N}(1 B)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}(2 B)$ are about $80^{\circ}$, whereas the other $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ angles in the equatorial plane are about $100^{\circ}$. This phenomenon has already been observed (Van Hooste, Lenstra, Kwiecinski \& Tyrlik, 1982; Lenstra, Van Loock, Tyrlik \& Stapowska, 1982; Lenstra, Geise \& Tyrlik, 1984) and is undoubtedly introduced by the geometrical demands of the Hdmg ligands.

The Co atom is in the $\mathrm{N}(1 A) \mathrm{N}(1 B) \mathrm{N}(2 A) \mathrm{N}(2 B)$ plane in (I), but shifted towards $N(1 P)$ by a distance $(d)$ 0.025 (1) $\AA$ in (II) and 0.021 (2) $\AA$ in (III). Observed $d$ values are similar to those reported for cobaloximes with other secondary amines as axial ligands and may be regarded as further indications of the existence of a ground-state cis effect (Lenstra, Geise \& Tyrlik, 1984).

The Hdmg units can be considered planar because of the small values of the torsion angle $\varphi[\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}]$, actual numbers being 3 and $3^{\circ}$ for ligands $A$ and $B$ in (I), 2 and $3^{\circ}$ in (II) and 1 and $2^{\circ}$ in (III), all with e.s.d.'s of about $1^{\circ}$. With planar Hdmg units one may consider the interplanar angle $\alpha$, defined as the angle between the $\mathrm{N}(1 A) \mathrm{C}(2 A) \mathrm{C}(3 A) \mathrm{N}(2 A)$ and $\mathrm{N}(1 B) \mathrm{C}(2 B) \mathrm{C}(3 B)$ $\mathrm{N}(2 B)$ planes, as a measure of the difference in steric demands of the axial ligands. The values are 0 (I), 4 (II) and $4^{\circ}$ (III), suggesting a small difference between pyrrolidine on the one hand and chlorine or benzyl on the other.

Geometrical features of the individual organic ligands are presented in Tables 7 through 9. Valence angles indicate a planar benzyl ligand in (III) (Table 7), and decidedly puckered pyrrolidine rings in all three compounds (Table 8). Individual lengths and angles in the Hdmg moieties (Table 9) show a rather large scatter, particularly in (II) and (III). On the other hand, the summary of average bond distances, given in Table 10 , reveals an excellent agreement with similar values put forward by Palenik, Sullivan \& Naik (1976). A possible explanation for the scatter in (II) and (III) could be ligand-induced proton shift (LIPS), i.e. an asymmetrical positioning of the axial ligands causing the two protons in the equatorial plane to be on one dimethylglyoxime unit, rather than to be distributed over both (Fig. 4).

LIPS would lead to $C_{2 v}$ symmetry in the equatorial plane and would allow the $A$ and $B$ dimethylglyoxime ligands to be different. The presence of an inversion center in (I) rules out $C_{2 v}$ symmetry, and thus excludes the presence of LIPS and proves that (I) must be formulated as $(\mathrm{Hdmg})_{2}(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{Co}$. Trends indicating how

Table 7. Geometrical characteristics of the benzyl ligand in (III)
E.s.d.'s are $c a 0.01 \AA$ and $0.8^{\circ}$, respectively.

| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(77)$ | 1.38 |  | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | 1.34 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(27)$ | 1.37 |  | $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(5 T)$ | 1.37 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(67)$ | 1.39 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 1.37 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(77)$ | 123 | $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(57)$ | 122 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(67)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(7 T)$ | 121 | $\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(57)-\mathrm{C}(67)$ | 118 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 123 | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(6 T)-\mathrm{C}(57)$ | 123 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(3 T)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | 118 | $\mathrm{C}(2 T)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(67)$ | 115 |  |

Table 8. Geometrical characteristics of the pyrrolidine rings
E.s.d.'s are ca0.01 $\AA$ and $0.9^{\circ}$, respectively.

|  | (I) | (II) | (III) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(1 P)-\mathrm{C}(1 P)$ | 1.47 | 1.43 | 1.45 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1 P)-\mathrm{C}(4 P)$ | 1.49 | 1.48 | 1.40 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 P)-\mathrm{C}(2 P)$ | 1.49 | 1.52 | 1.55 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 P)-\mathrm{C}(3 P)$ | 1.51 | 1.50 | 1.49 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 P)-\mathrm{C}(4 P)$ | 1.51 | 1.49 | 1.49 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 P)-\mathrm{N}(1 P)-\mathrm{C}(4 P)$ | 102 | 101 | 104 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1 P)-\mathrm{C}(1 P)-\mathrm{C}(2 P)$ | 107 | 107 | 106 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1 P)-\mathrm{C}(2 P)-\mathrm{C}(3 P)$ | 105 | 103 | 104 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2 P)-\mathrm{C}(3 P)-\mathrm{C}(4 P)$ | 106 | 105 | 104 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3 P)-\mathrm{C}(4 P)-\mathrm{N}(1 P)$ | 105 | 106 | 109 |

Table 9. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and valence angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ of the Hdmg moieties
E.s.d.'s are ca $0.01 \AA$ and $0.9^{\circ}$, respectively.

|  | (I) | (II) |  | (III) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $A$ | $B$ | $A$ | $B$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.34 | 1.31 | 1.39 | 1.37 | 1.33 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 1.35 | 1.30 | 1.38 | 1.35 | 1.36 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.30 | 1.31 | 1.25 | 1.29 | 1.28 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.28 | 1.31 | 1.25 | 1.24 | 1.30 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.46 | 1.45 | 1.47 | 1.42 | 1.47 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.47 | 1.49 | 1.52 | 1.53 | 1.49 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.48 | 1.47 | 1.48 | 1.46 | 1.41 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 121 | 123 | 118 | 123 | 121 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 121 | 122 | 123 | 123 | 120 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 112 | 113 | 113 | 116 | 113 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 113 | 114 | 111 | 112 | 111 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 124 | 122 | 126 | 123 | 124 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 124 | 122 | 123 | 123 | 124 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 123 | 124 | 121 | 121 | 123 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 122 | 124 | 125 | 124 | 124 |

Table 10. Summary of average bond lengths $(\AA)$ in the dimethylglyoxime ligands; ligands $X$ and $Y$ are normal to the plane of the dmg groups

| Compound | $X$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| (I) | pyr | pyr | 1.345 | 1.288 | 1.463 | 1.477 |
| (II) | pyr | Cl | 1.347 | 1.280 | 1.460 | 1.492 |
| (III) | pyr | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 1.351 | 1.278 | 1.446 | 1.472 |



Fig. 4. Possible arrangements of protons in the equatorial plane with corresponding local symmetry.
bond lengths change when going from $\mathrm{dmg}(2-)$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{dmg}$ have been published by Bresciani-Pahor, Calligaris \& Randaccio (1978). Inspection of Tables 5 to 9 along these lines shows that the possibility of LIPS in (II) and (III) cannot be ruled out, although a firm statistically significant statement cannot be made.

Some of the conclusions drawn from the geometries revealed by the X-ray investigation are corroborated by the NMR behaviour of the compounds (Table 1), although the interpretation is hampered by the unfortunate solubility characteristics that force us to use different solvents. The variation in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts of $C(1 P)$ and $C(2 P)$ is in our opinion a reflection of the trans effect, while the variation of $\mathrm{C}(1 A)$ and $\mathrm{C}(2 A)$ is a reflection of the cis effect. Furthermore, the chemical shifts of $\mathrm{C}(1 A)$ and $\mathrm{C}(2 A)$ seem to confirm that the pyrrolidine derivative (I) can be set apart from the chlorine (II) and benzyl derivatives (III), as was also suggested by the comparison of interplanar angles $\alpha$. Of course, the origin for the grouping according to the $\delta$ values need not be steric.
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